

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JAN 29 2006

IBM CORPORATION
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW DEPARTMENT
11400 BURNET ROAD
AUSTIN, TEXAS 78758
FAX # 512DATE: 1/29/06Number of Pages to Follow (including cover sheet) 10

SEND TO: United States Patent Office

Examiner: A. J. SmithGroup Art Unit: 2176

Tel No: _____

Fax #: 512-273-8300FROM: J.B. KRAFTTel No: 512-473-2303

THIS MESSAGE IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY TO WHICH IT IS ADDRESSED, AND MAY CONTAIN INFORMATION THAT IS PRIVILEGED, CONFIDENTIAL AND EXEMPT FROM DISCLOSURE UNDER APPLICABLE LAW. IF THE READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, OR THE EMPLOYEE OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE FOR DELIVERING THE MESSAGE TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION OR COPYING OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY BY TELEPHONE AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE TO US AT THE ADDRESS ABOVE VIA THE U.S. POSTAL SERVICE. THANK YOU.

Docket No. US920000234 Serial No. 09/589,666 Atty: J.B. KRAFTApplicant: A.S. Baweja

<input type="checkbox"/> Transmittal Letter (2 copies)	<input type="checkbox"/> Certificate of Facsimile
<input type="checkbox"/> Preliminary Amendment	<input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Appeal
<input type="checkbox"/> Amendment AF	<input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Brief (3 copies)
<input type="checkbox"/> Ext. of Time	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Reply Brief <u>3 copies</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> IDS Statement	<input type="checkbox"/> Change of Address
<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	

Deposit Acct. No. 09-0447

Fees: Amendment _____ Notice of Appeal _____ Appeal Brief _____ Other _____

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER


JAN 29 2006

PATENT
09/589,666

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
In re application of: : Group Art Unit: 2176
: Examiner: P. J. Smith
Balijeet S. Baweja et al. : Intellectual Property
Serial No: 09/589,666 : Law Department - 4054
Filed: 06/08/2000 : International Business
Title: DISTRIBUTING CONDENSED : Machines Corporation
VERSIONS OF DISPLAYABLE : 11400 Burnet Road
INFORMATION IN HYPERTEXT : Austin, Texas 78758
MARKUP LANGUAGE DOCUMENTS : Customer No. 32,329
TRANSMITTED ON THE WORLD WIDE :
WEB TO PERSONAL PALM-TYPE :
DISPLAY COMPUTERS :
Date: 01/29/06 :

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence including the present Reply Brief (in triplicate) is being transmitted via facsimile to USPTO, Group Art Unit 2176 at telephone number 571-273-8300, and to the attention of Examiner Peter J. Smith on 01/29/06.

J.B. KRAFT1/29/06REPLY BRIEF ON APPEAL
BEFORE THE BOARD OF APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This is a Reply Brief to the Examiner's Answer mailed November 28, 2005.

AUS920000234US1

1

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

PATENT
09/589,666

In the Answer, the Examiner makes another argument in response to Applicants' argument that the Examiner is combining the two references in the light of Applicants' own teaching. Applicant did not intend to argue that there was insufficient basis for one skilled in the art to look at both Kikinis and Donoho in an attempt find Applicants invention. Applicants argued that the rejection used Applicants' disclosure as a guideline, and then picked and combined elements from each of the Kikinis and Donoho references based solely on Applicants' own teaching.

The present invention requires the transmission from a source of a single Markup Language (HTML) document containing two versions of the data content: a first version of the content which is displayable at standard display stations, and a condensed version of the same data content displayable on smaller palm-type displays combined with palm-type computer with the means for accessing the condensed version from the transmitted document.

Kikinis recognizes the need to condense data content of HTML documents to fit smaller personal device displays. However, as the Examiner concedes, Kikinis does not provide the two versions in a single HTML document. The Examiner goes to Donoho for this teaching. The Donoho patent is a very extensive description of a set of Advice Provider intelligent server systems which gather information from the web tailored to the needs of a set of subscribing Advice Consumers and transmit such advice to the Consumers. In this extensive general disclosure which is not related to the present invention, in Donoho, the Examiner has found a segment at col 22, lines 15-22 which may only be pertinent if interpreted in light of Applicants' own teaching. There is a general statement of an E-mail message with two alternative versions, the selection of which is determined

AU920000234US1

2


PATENT
09/589,666

in the Advice Provider server by the destination, i.e. the Advice Consumer being supported. When read in the light of Donoho's teaching, this can only mean that two versions of the same message are available in Donoho's Advice Provider servers. The Advice Provider can select the appropriate version suitable to the needs of the Advice Consumer. This selected version in Donoho would then be transmitted as a single version of the message based on the Advice Consumers needs and not, as in the present invention, as a document containing two versions from which the receiving palm computer could select the version appropriate to the receiving palm-type computer.

There still is no suggestion in Donoho of the element of the present invention wherein there are means in the personal palm-type computer for directly accessing the second i.e. condensed version of the displayable data from the transmitted message document.

Thus, any suggestion of a single transmitted document with two versions of a message from which the receiving computer had means to select the appropriate version could only be made in the light of Applicants' own teaching.

Respectfully submitted

 1/29/06
J. B. Kraft
Attorney for Applicants
Registration No. 19,226
(512) 473-2303

PLEASE MAIL ALL CORRESPONDENCE TO:

Jeffrey S. LaBaw
IPLaw Dept. - IMAD 4054
IBM Corporation
11400 Burnet Road
Austin, Texas 78758

AUS920000234US1

3

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
JAN 29 2006

PATENT
09/589,666

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
In re application of: : Group Art Unit: 2176
: Examiner: P. J. Smith
Balijet S. Baweja et al. : Intellectual Property
Serial No: 09/589,666 : Law Department - 4054
Filed: 06/08/2000 : International Business
Title: DISTRIBUTING CONDENSED : Machines Corporation
VERSIONS OF DISPLAYABLE : 11400 Burnet Road
INFORMATION IN HYPERTEXT : Austin, Texas 78758
MARKUP LANGUAGE DOCUMENTS : Customer No. 32,329
TRANSMITTED ON THE WORLD WIDE :
WEB TO PERSONAL PALM-TYPE :
DISPLAY COMPUTERS :
Date: 01/29/06 :

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence including the present Reply Brief (in triplicate) is being transmitted via facsimile to USPTO, Group Art Unit 2176 at telephone number 571-273-8300, and to the attention of Examiner Peter J. Smith on 01/29/06.

J.B. KRAFT
JB Kraft 1/29/06

REPLY BRIEF ON APPEAL
BEFORE THE BOARD OF APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

Commissioner for Patents
P.O.Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This is a Reply Brief to the Examiner's Answer mailed November 28, 2005.

AUS920000234US1

1

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

PATENT
09/589,666

In the Answer, the Examiner makes another argument in response to Applicants' argument that the Examiner is combining the two references in the light of Applicants' own teaching. Applicant did not intend to argue that there was insufficient basis for one skilled in the art to look at both Kikinis and Donoho in an attempt find Applicants invention. Applicants argued that the rejection used Applicants' disclosure as a guideline, and then picked and combined elements from each of the Kikinis and Donoho references based solely on Applicants' own teaching.

The present invention requires the transmission from a source of a single Markup Language (HTML) document containing two versions of the data content: a first version of the content which is displayable at standard display stations, and a condensed version of the same data content displayable on smaller palm-type displays combined with palm-type computer with the means for accessing the condensed version from the transmitted document.

Kikinis recognizes the need to condense data content of HTML documents to fit smaller personal device displays. However, as the Examiner concedes, Kikinis does not provide the two versions in a single HTML document. The Examiner goes to Donoho for this teaching. The Donoho patent is a very extensive description of a set of Advice Provider intelligent server systems which gather information from the Web tailored to the needs of a set of subscribing Advice Consumers and transmit such advice to the Consumers. In this extensive general disclosure which is not related to the present invention, in Donoho, the Examiner has found a segment at col 22, lines 15-22 which may only be pertinent if interpreted in light of Applicants' own teaching. There is a general statement of an E-mail message with two alternative versions, the selection of which is determined

AUS920000234US1

2


PATENT
09/589,666

in the Advice Provider server by the destination, i.e. the Advice Consumer being supported. When read in the light of Donoho's teaching, this can only mean that two versions of the same message are available in Donoho's Advice Provider servers. The Advice Provider can select the appropriate version suitable to the needs of the Advice Consumer. This selected version in Donoho would then be transmitted as a single version of the message based on the Advice Consumers needs and not, as in the present invention, as a document containing two versions from which the receiving palm computer could select the version appropriate to the receiving palm-type computer.

There still is no suggestion in Donoho of the element of the present invention wherein there are means in the personal palm-type computer for directly accessing the second i.e. condensed version of the displayable data from the transmitted message document.

Thus, any suggestion of a single transmitted document with two versions of a message from which the receiving computer had means to select the appropriate version could only be made in the light of Applicants' own teaching.

Respectfully submitted


J. B. Kraft
Attorney for Applicants
Registration No. 19,226
(512) 473-2303

PLEASE MAIL ALL CORRESPONDENCE TO:

Jeffrey S. LaBaw
IPLaw Dept. - IMAD 4054
IBM Corporation
11400 Burnet Road
Austin, Texas 78758

A08920000234US1

3

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTERPATENT
09/589,666

JAN 29 2006

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
In re application of: : Group Art Unit: 2176
: Examiner: P. J. Smith
Balijeeet S. Baweja et al. : Intellectual Property
Serial No: 09/589,666 : Law Department - 4054
Filed: 06/08/2000 : International Business
Title: DISTRIBUTING CONDENSED : Machines Corporation
VERSIONS OF DISPLAYABLE : 11400 Burnet Road
INFORMATION IN HYPERTEXT : Austin, Texas 78758
MARKUP LANGUAGE DOCUMENTS : Customer No. 32,329
TRANSMITTED ON THE WORLD WIDE :
WEB TO PERSONAL PALM-TYPE :
DISPLAY COMPUTERS :
Date: 01/30/06 :

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence including the present Reply Brief (in triplicate) is being transmitted via facsimile to USPTO, Group Art Unit 2176 at telephone number 571-273-8300, and to the attention of Examiner Peter J. Smith on 01/30/06.

REPLY BRIEF ON APPEAL
BEFORE THE BOARD OF APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This is a Reply Brief to the Examiner's Answer mailed November 28, 2005.

AUS920000234US1

1

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

PATENT
09/589,666

In the Answer, the Examiner makes another argument in response to Applicants' argument that the Examiner is combining the two references in the light of Applicants' own teaching. Applicant did not intend to argue that there was insufficient basis for one skilled in the art to look at both Kikinis and Donoho in an attempt find Applicants invention. Applicants argued that the rejection used Applicants' disclosure as a guideline, and then picked and combined elements from each of the Kikinis and Donoho references based solely on Applicants' own teaching.

The present invention requires the transmission from a source of a single Markup Language (HTML) document containing two versions of the data content: a first version of the content which is displayable at standard display stations, and a condensed version of the same data content displayable on smaller palm-type displays combined with palm-type computer with the means for accessing the condensed version from the transmitted document.

Kikinis recognizes the need to condense data content of HTML documents to fit smaller personal device displays. However, as the Examiner concedes, Kikinis does not provide the two versions in a single HTML document. The Examiner goes to Donoho for this teaching. The Donoho patent is a very extensive description of a set of Advice Provider intelligent server systems which gather information from the Web tailored to the needs of a set of subscribing Advice Consumers and transmit such advice to the Consumers. In this extensive general disclosure which is not related to the present invention, in Donoho, the Examiner has found a segment at col 22, lines 15-22 which may only be pertinent if interpreted in light of Applicants' own teaching. There is a general statement of an E-mail message with two alternative versions, the selection of which is determined

AUS920000234US1

2

PATENT
09/589,666

in the Advice Provider server by the destination, i.e. the Advice Consumer being supported. When read in the light of Donoho's teaching, this can only mean that two versions of the same message are available in Donoho's Advice Provider servers. The Advice Provider can select the appropriate version suitable to the needs of the Advice Consumer. This selected version in Donoho would then be transmitted as a single version of the message based on the Advice Consumers needs and not, as in the present invention, as a document containing two versions from which the receiving palm computer could select the version appropriate to the receiving palm-type computer.

There still is no suggestion in Donoho of the element of the present invention wherein there are means in the personal palm-type computer for directly accessing the second i.e. condensed version of the displayable data from the transmitted message document.

Thus, any suggestion of a single transmitted document with two versions of a message from which the receiving computer had means to select the appropriate version could only be made in the light of Applicants' own teaching.

Respectfully submitted,

J.B. Kraft 1/29/06
J. B. Kraft
Attorney for Applicants
Registration No. 19,226
(512) 473-2303

PLEASE MAIL ALL CORRESPONDENCE TO:

Jeffrey S. LaBaw
IPLaw Dept. - IMAD 4054
IBM Corporation
11400 Burnet Road
Austin, Texas 78758

AUS920000234US1

3

BEST AVAILABLE COPY